

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/647,297	Applicant(s) HUH ET AL.	
	Examiner Hai Vo	Art Unit 1794	

All Participants:

(1) Hai Vo.

(2) Terry L. Clark.

Date of Interview: 9 March 2009

Status of Application: 71

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: 11:00 am

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Hai Vo/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Applicant's representative on March 9, 2009 to suggest the examiner's amendment to place the instant claims in condition for allowance. However, Applicant was not available at that time to approve the examiner's amendment. The RCE was filed 04/07/2009 with the amendments that were consistent with the examiner's suggestion.